

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Max BACHMANN

Serial no.

09/890,716

Filed

with an effective filing date of February 4, 2000

For

ELECTRIC MACHINE

Docket

ZAHFRI P355US

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

SUBMISSION OF ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Dear Sir:

Enclosed please find an English translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report concerning the above-identified application. Please enter the same into the record of this case.

In the event that there are any fee deficiencies or additional fees are payable, please charge the same or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account (Account No. 04-0213).

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Bujold, Reg. No. 32,018

Customer No. 020210 Davis & Bujold, P.L.L.C.

Fourth Floor

500 North Commercial Street Manchester NH 03101-1151 Telephone 603-624-9220 Facsimile 603-624-9229

E-mail: patent@davisandbujold.com

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service, with sufficient postage, as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 on <u>October 4, 2001</u>.

By: Muchael Saylas

Print Name: Michael J. Bujold

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 72.2)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

TS Eingang 24. Sept. 2001

ZF FRIEDRICHSHAFEN AG D-88038 Friedrichshafen ALLEMAGNE

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 29 August 2001 (29.08.01)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 7463 WO F RO-HEI	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP00/00893	04 February 2000 (04.02.00)

1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation made by the International Bureau of the international preliminary examination report established by the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following elected Offices requiring such translation:

JP,US

The following elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

EP

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report.

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Juan CRUZ

T

Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38

Form PCT/IB/338 (July 1996)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 7463 WO F RO-HEI	FOR FURTHER ACTION		ication of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/EP00/00893	International filing date (day) 04 February 2000 (0)		Priority date (day/month/year) 10 February 1999 (10.02.99)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or H02K 1/32, 9/02, 5/20, 9/19	national classification and IPC			
Applicant	ZF FRIEDRICHSHA	FEN AG		
Authority and is transmitted to the 2. This REPORT consists of a total o This report is also accompa	applicant according to Article 30 of 5 sheets, including to Article 30 of 5 sheets, including the same sheets.	i. ng this cover s of the descript	International Preliminary Examining sheet. tion, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority	
	on 607 of the Administrative Inst	_		
3. This report contains indications rel	_			
II Priority	••			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ent of opinion with regard to nove	lty, inventive	step and industrial applicability	
V Reasoned statement citations and exp	•	rd to novelty, ent	inventive step or industrial applicability;	
VI Certain documen	its cited			
VII	n the international application			
VIII Certain observati	ions on the international applicat	on		
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion	of this report	
02 August 2000 (02.	08.00)	16 No	ovember 2000 (16.11.2000)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Autho	rized officer		
Facsimile No.	Telep	Telephone No.		

Translation

International application No.

PCT/EP00/00893

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

inaer Arii				eets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in responders and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain	
	the international	l application as	originally filed	l.	
\boxtimes	the description,	pages	1-10	, as originally filed,	
		pages		, filed with the demand,	
		pages		, filed with the letter of	
		pages		, filed with the letter of	
\boxtimes	the claims,	Nos.		, as originally filed,	
	•	Nos.		, as amended under Article 19,	
		Nos.		, filed with the demand,	
		Nos.	1-12	, filed with the letter of18 October 2000 (18.10.2000)
		Nos.		, filed with the letter of	
\boxtimes	the drawings,	sheets/fig	1/8-8/8	, as originally filed,	
		sheets/fig		, filed with the demand,	
		sheets/fig		, filed with the letter of	
he amen	ıdments have result า	ed in the cance	llation of:	, filed with the letter of	
he amen	idments have result the description, the claims, the drawings,	pages	llation of:		
Thi to g	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been ego beyond the discl	nages Nos sheets/fig stablished as if osure as filed, a	llation of:		
Thi to g	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been e	nages Nos sheets/fig stablished as if osure as filed, a	llation of:	mendments had not been made, since they have been co	
Thi to g	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been ego beyond the discl	nages Nos sheets/fig stablished as if osure as filed, a	llation of:	mendments had not been made, since they have been co	
Thi to g	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been ego beyond the discl	nages Nos sheets/fig stablished as if osure as filed, a	llation of:	mendments had not been made, since they have been co	
Thi to g	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been ego beyond the discl	nages Nos sheets/fig stablished as if osure as filed, a	llation of:	mendments had not been made, since they have been co	
Thi to g	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been ego beyond the discl	nages Nos sheets/fig stablished as if osure as filed, a	llation of:	mendments had not been made, since they have been conhe Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	
Thi to g	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been ego beyond the discl	ed in the cance pages Nos. sheets/fig stablished as if osure as filed, and the cance	llation of:	mendments had not been made, since they have been conhe Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	
Thi to g	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been ego beyond the discl	ed in the cance pages Nos. sheets/fig stablished as if osure as filed, and the cance	(some of) the as indicated in t	mendments had not been made, since they have been conhe Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	
Thi to g	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been ego beyond the discl	ed in the cance pages Nos. sheets/fig stablished as if osure as filed, and the cance	(some of) the a	mendments had not been made, since they have been conhe Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	

ternational application No.

PCT/EP 00/00893

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1 - 12	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1 - 12	YES
		Claims		NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1 - 12	YES
		Claims		NO NO

2. Citations and explanations

1) Novelty

The subject matter of Claim 1 differs from the closest prior art disclosed in EP-A-0 726 637 (D1) (cf. the relevant passages of that document indicated in the search report) by its characterizing features. It is therefore considered to be novel.

2) Inventive step

The problem to be solved by the characterizing features of Claim 1 is understood to be to prevent heat transfer from the rotor to the bearing. In electrical machines according to the remaining documents of the available prior art, a broadening of the cross-section of the web segments is even provided in the region of the junction of the web segments with the rotor core assembly.

Consequently, an approximately linear contact surface between the web segments and the rotor core assembly (or intermediate shaft) according to Claim 1 is not suggested by the available prior art.

.../...

ternational application No.

PCT/EP 00/00893

(Continuation of V.2)

Dependent Claims 2 - 12.

The subjects of Claims 2 - 12 likewise involve an inventive step, because these claims are dependent on Claim 1.

No objections are raised concerning the industrial applicability of the claimed invention.

VIL Certain defects in the international applicat

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

Ontrary to PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not cite document D1 or briefly outline the relevant prior art contained therein.

national application No.
PCT/EP 00/00893

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The description has not been brought into line with the amended claims. This represents an inconsistency between the claims and the description and gives rise to uncertainty concerning the scope of protection afforded by the claims, which therefore lack the clarity required in PCT Article 6.